COLLEGE OF EDUCATION SENATE MEETING
Feb. 1, 2013, 9 a.m.-11 a.m.

Minutes

Attendance:

Donna Wiseman, Kevin Dunbar, Wayne Slater, Valerie Foster, Jing Lin, Noah Drezner, Olivia Saracho, Ellen Fabian, Eileen Kramer, Laura Stapleton, John Seelke, James Morris, Jessica Bancroft, Rebecca Silverman.

1) Memorial Resolution
   a. There are six people to memorialize of former staff and faculty who have passed away at the coming College Assembly
   b. Val has compiled the language for the resolution
      i. Some of the bios are shorter than others.
   c. We will send copies of the resolution to the families of those who passed away

2) Nominations Committee
   a. We need a faculty senator from each department, one staff member, one student. Below are the members of the committee:
      i. HDQM – Kevin Dunbar
      ii. TLPL – Olivia Saracho
      iii. CHSE - Ellen Fabian
      iv. Staff – Val Foster
      v. Student – James Morris

3) Clinical Faculty Procedures
   a. This procedure sets up the college-wide approval process to begin the process until we have Clinical faculty to take over the process of review of clinical faculty appointment and promotion in the College level.
      i. Motion to approve Wayne, second Olivia – 12-0-0 APPROVED

4) Faculty Assembly Agenda
   a. Introductions of the new faculty
   b. Memorial resolution
   c. Dean’s Report
   d. Work-life benefits/policy
   e. Student government activities and initiatives
   f. Senate updates
      i. Reminder about College Awards nominations
      ii. Request for amendments to the College Plan of Organization
   g. Lunch
5) Discussion about a potential change to College Plan of Organization re staff voting
   a. Jing and Noah would like to make an amendment that allows full staff voting on
gen. Faculty could remain the sole voters for Academic issues.
general college votes rather than the current 10% representation of faculty.
b. How do we determine what is an academic issue or what is a general issue?
i. Kevin suggested that this can be decided by the department chair or dean.
ii. Rebecca suggested that we should have a policy as to how to determine
    what is a general or what is an academic issue.
c. Jing related this to the difficult of what quorum is when thinking about electronic
   votes.
      i. Quorum vs. participation

6) Dean's Report
   a. Council of Deans –
      i. 2.5% merit will be given to the campus, a percentage will be taken by the
         provost and deans for retention and compression issues. (1.5% will likely
         make it to the department for distribution.)
         1. Therefore, to get to 2.5% or higher we would have to use existing
            unused lines to fund merit. This needs System approval. The
            president and provost are making the case for this.
         2. This merit allocation does not cover those who are not on state
            lines (e.g.; most of our clinical lines)
         3. Merit will not be available until April 2014
      ii. Looking at APT process to see how “innovation” can be recognized
          1. The dean thinks that we are not in line with other colleges at the
             University when it comes to only looking at refereed journals and
             not giving as much credit for collaboration in the APT process.
   b. College of Education Budget
      i. There is a need to restructure the College and department budgets to be in
         line with the rest of the University.
      ii. While there is no “issue” with the budget we need to do a lot of cleaning
   c. Centers and Institutes
      i. Working with the Council of Chairs to do an inventory and evaluate the
         Centers
         1. How can we use Centers and Institutes to improve grants?
   d. Teacher education reports that are coming out this semester
      i. NCTQ – National Center for Teacher Quality (Kate Walsh) working in
         collaboration with US News & World Report. The goal of NCTQ is to
         close colleges of education around the country.
1. The dean expects this to be a very negative report for us.
2. NCTQ is funded by the Gates Foundation and many others
3. AACTE and NCATE are going to put out counter reports

   e. Charter School
      i. The University is not going to be involved in the school as heavily as it
         was originally planned by the president
         1. We will be involved in the college credit program for the high
            school. We are obligated to provide 24 credit hours.
      ii. The school will open with 150 seats this first year
           1. There will be a lottery for seats
      iii. The School of Public Health will provide after school programming in
           "innovated" ways
      iv. There was a lot of parent interest in the Charter School especially in the
          early college credit program

7) Innovation and Entrepreneurship
   a. There is a new VP for Innovation and Entrepreneurship
      i. This conversation is being pushed because of the changing stream of
         funding for higher education and how knowledge is produced
   b. Jing: there are many one year master’s programs at other universities
      i. May be a time to think of different venues for providing programs because
         of way the programs funded (online / Shady Grove)
   c. Perhaps there is a need for a panel / college-wide discussion on this topic

Adjourned: 10:41am